

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,614	11/21/2003	Steffen Beyer	010739.51198D1	8198	
23911	7590 06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP			DIXON, MERRICK L		
P.O. BOX 14	UAL PROPERTY GROUP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20044-4300		1774	-	
				DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/717,614	BEYER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>rce fil</u>	iled 4-6-06.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,13-19 and 23-30</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11,13-19 and 23-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No.	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •		
application from the International Bureau	•	J	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	MEHRI	EXAMINER	
Attachment(s)	, ,		
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2

Claims 11-14,21 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strasser et al(US 6134881) in view of Haidn et al(US 6151887).

The cited primary reference teaches the basic claimed invention including a process for making a combustion chamber comprising making a fibrous structure with a three dimensional matrix, forming a silicon carbide matrix and making at least one composite material jacket from the resulting composite material- col 5, lines 44-56; col 6, lines 11-66; col 7, lines 15-30. Although the primary reference forms its silicon carbide matrix during its process it fails to expressly teach how such silicon is introduced into its composite to form the silicon carbide matrix. The secondary reference to Haidn et al, however, teaches that it is known in the art to form silicon carbide matrix via addition of silicon into respective fibrous material of the instant art- col 6, lines 13-42; col 3, lines 58- col 4. line 4. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teachings of the secondary reference to Haidn et al and form its silicon carbide matrix via feeding silicon material to the fibrous material, such as taught by Strasser et al, thus converting same to a silicon carbide matrix, in the absence of unexpected results. Such a combination would have been obvious to provide improved oxidative protection and improved stability to the resulting chambercol 3, lines 46-57. Concerning article claims 12,21 and 24, it is submitted that the claimed article limitations are of no patentable consequences to the instant question for patentability which must be patentable distinct. Ex parter Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408 (1961). However, it is submitted that it would have been obvious in the cited references, to provide the fibrous structure with such claimed specific material, in the absence of unexpected results depending on desired product characteristics/properties. Concerning claims 13 and 14, the primary reference teaches weaving of its parts in col 7, lines 18-25; col 8, lines 30-35.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 17-20,22,23,25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strasser et al(US 6134881) in view of Tuffias et al(US 5855828). The primary reference to Strasser et al was discussed above, inter alla. The primary reference fails to teach the aspect of metal coating its respective layers. The secondary reference to Tuffias et al, however, teaches that it is known in the art to deposit metallike material (metallic coatings) to combustion devices such as taught by the primary reference- col 4, lines 28-43; col 6, lines 10-14; col 7, lines 3-22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teachings of the secondary reference to Tuffias et al and facilitate the primary reference with similar type metallic coating as required by claims 17 and 18 and in the absence of unexpected results and additionally to impart oxidation resistance to the chamber- col 10, lines 1-3. Concerning claims 25,27 and 29, the secondary reference teaches the aspect of infiltrating the fibrous material during its patented process- col 9, lines 1-5; col 3, lines 1-30. It is submitted same is done simultaneous when the metallic material is deposit on the fibrous material. the cited primary reference teaches multilayered chamber- fig 5a; col 3, lines 21-30; col 6, lines 11-66. see secondary reference, also, col 8, lines 30-55. Concerning claims 17,22,26 and 28, the secondary reference teaches electroplating in col 3, lines 59-63. It is submitted that it would have been obvious to utilize such well known process in the obvious combined teachings of the reference, as set forthby the examiner and as claimed claimed by applicants. Concerning claims 20 and 23, the secondary reference teaches affixing load bearing layers on its chamber in col 8, lines 55-63; col 10, lines 4-20. Concerning claims 13,14 and 16, the secondary reference teaches weaving attachment means in col 4, lines 59Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

66. Concerning claims 15, 16 and 19, the claimed shaped spaces are directed to article limitations are of no patentable consequences to the instant question for patentability which must be manipulatively distinct. Ex parte Pfeiffer, 1962 C.D. 408(1961). However, Strasser et al, teaches similar spaces "worked" into the composite material, as required by claim 16 and understood by the examiner, in its layer material in col 4, lines 1-9. As regarding the aspect of manipulatively applying metal coating layers, it is submitted the secondary reference teaches this aspect in col 6, line 12 and more specifically in col 9, lines 61-64. Here the secondary reference expressly teaches such claimed manipulative step.

4

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Application/Control Number: 10/717,614

Art Unit: 1774

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700